

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH  
COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2002 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2001 amount, the 2002 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2002 follow:

[In thousands of dollars]

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001 .....	\$18,892,320
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002 .....	18,072,635
House bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	18,863,855
Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	18,644,035
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2002 .....	19,078,220
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2001 .....	+185,900
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 2002 .....	+1,005,585
House bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	+214,365
Senate bill, fiscal year 2002 .....	+414,185

JOE SKEEN,  
RALPH REGULA,  
JIM KOLBE,  
CHARLES H. TAYLOR,  
GEORGE R. NETHERCUTT,  
Jr.,  
ZACH WAMP,  
JACK KINGSTON,  
JOHN E. PETERSON,  
BILL YOUNG,  
NORMAN D. DICKS,  
JOHN P. MURTHA,  
JAMES P. MORAN,  
MAURICE HINCHEY,  
MARTIN OLAV SABO,  
DAVID OBEY,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

ROBERT BYRD,  
PATRICK LEAHY,  
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,  
HARRY REID,  
BYRON L. DORGAN,  
DIANNE FEINSTEIN,  
PATTY MURRAY,  
DANIEL K. INOUE,  
CONRAD BURNS,  
TED STEVENS,  
THAD COCHRAN,  
PETE V. DOMENICI,  
ROBERT F. BENNETT,  
JUDD GREGG,  
BEN NIGHTHORSE  
CAMPBELL,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

CONGRATULATING IRA LEESFIELD

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, our Nation has many outstanding citizens. One such individual is Ira Leesfield, who will receive the Anti-Defamation League's Jurisdiction Award. This award recognizes individuals who have made an outstanding contribution to the legal profession and the community at large while exemplifying the principles upon which the Anti-Defamation League was founded.

Mr. Leesfield is one of the Nation's premier products liability and consumer safety lawyers and currently serves as Florida's senior governor on the board of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America.

The dedication he has shown to our country is evident throughout his entire career. He has worked at the Department of Justice, has served in the U.S. Army, and was appointed to important positions by both former President Clinton and former Florida Governor Lawton Chiles.

Mr. Leesfield is actively involved in community service and has strong commitments to the Miami Jewish Home for the Aged, Make-a-Wish Foundation, the Boy Scouts of America, and the Florida and National Committees to Prevent Child Abuse.

Please join me in congratulating Ira Leesfield for his contributions and for the leadership he has shown to his local community and indeed to our fine Nation.

MILITARY AT OUR BORDERS

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, Army at our airports, soldiers on our trains, National Guard in our cities, military everywhere except our borders. Our borders are still wide open. Unbelievable. Terrorists can cross with ease and kill millions of Americans. Beam me up. Policemen were not designed to fight a war, the military was.

I yield back the need for Congress to ensure the security and safety of our borders to keep terrorists out; and we are not going to do it with law enforcement. It is time to put the military at our borders.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SWEENEY). Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 9 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess subject to the call of the Chair.

□ 1319

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LATOURETTE) at 1 o'clock and 19 minutes p.m.

MAKING IN ORDER AT ANY TIME  
CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3061, DE-  
PARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND  
EDUCATION, AND RELATED  
AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS  
ACT, 2002

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it be in

order at any time for the Speaker, as though pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, to declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3061) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, and that consideration of the bill proceed according to the following order:

The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with.

All points of order against the bill and against its consideration are waived.

General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed 1 hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations.

After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the 5-minute rule.

During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII, and amendments so printed shall be considered as read.

During consideration of the bill, points of order against amendments for failure to comply with clause 2(e) of rule XXI are waived.

At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment, the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted.

The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

Ms. HART. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, an amendment had been prepared to be offered to be the Labor HHS appropriations bill, an amendment that is very important, in fact, an amendment that had been planned for quite a few months. This same amendment was going to be offered to the education bill, but was withdrawn in the interest of making sure that that education bill was passed this past spring.

An agreement was made that that amendment would be offered in the Labor HHS appropriation. The rule had originally included the protection of that amendment. However, as a sponsor of that amendment, I have agreed to withdraw it. I am not withdrawing it because it is not an important issue. I am not withdrawing it because of pressure by anyone in particular. The amendment is actually being withdrawn in the interest of the larger body